UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

A AMO DAMED A)	
LUIS RIVERA,)	
Petitioner,))	
V.)	Civil Action No. 04-12717-RCL
DAVID NOLAN,)	
Respondent.)	
)	

RESPONDENT'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AS TIME-BARRED

Introduction

The respondent, David Nolan, respectfully submits this memorandum of law in support of his motion to dismiss the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by the petitioner, Luis Rivera. The petition must be dismissed as time-barred under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d), the statute of limitations for federal habeas corpus petitions, which is contained in the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA"). A brief recitation of the facts relevant to the statute of limitations analysis (set forth in greater detail below) is as follows: the petitioner's conviction was affirmed by the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("the SJC") on February 13, 1997. *See Commonwealth v. Rivera*, 424 Mass. 266 (1997). The petitioner's petition for a writ of certiorari was denied by the United States Supreme Court on October 13, 1998, and at that time the petitioner's conviction became final for purposes of AEDPA. Any petition for a writ of habeas corpus needed, therefore, to be filed in this Court on or before October 13, 1999. The petitioner did not, however, file his habeas corpus petition until December 28, 2004 --- more

than five (5) years after the time for filing any such petition had expired. Accordingly, the petition must be dismissed as time-barred.

Prior Proceedings

On June 29, 1999, a Hampden County jury found the petitioner guilty of two counts of murder in the first degree and a count of unlawful possession of a firearm. See Docket Sheet for Hampden County Superior Court Criminal Action No. 1991-02247 (hereinafter, "Docket Sheet"), attached hereto as Exhibit A. The petitioner appealed this conviction to the SJC, and the SJC affirmed petitioner's conviction on February 13, 1997. See Commonwealth v. Luis Fernando Rivera, 424 Mass. 266 (1997). The petitioner applied for a writ of certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, but his petition was denied on October 13, 1998. See Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (hereinafter "Petition"), ¶ 9(f).

On November 10, 2003, the petitioner filed a motion for a new trial in the Superior Court. See Docket Sheet, Exhibit A. This motion was denied on November 20, 2003. See id. The petitioner filed an application for review pursuant to G.L. c. 278, § 33E (a "Gatekeeper Petition") with the SJC, but this application was denied on December 14, 2004. See Petition, ¶ 11(b)(6).

The instant federal habeas petition was filed in this Court on December 28, 2004.

Argument

The Petition Must Be Dismissed as Time-Barred Under the Statute of Limitations for Federal Habeas Corpus Petitions.

The petitioner's request for a writ of habeas corpus must be dismissed under the statute of limitations enacted by Congress as part of the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA"), 28 U.S.C. §2244(d), which became effective April 24, 1996. That

provision, which is applicable to federal habeas corpus petitions filed by state prisoners, provides that:

- (1) A 1-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of –
 - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
 - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such State action;
 - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
 - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.
- (2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection. not

28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(emphasis added).

In the instant case, the Supreme Court denied the petitioner's petition for a writ of certiorari on October 13, 1998. See Petition, ¶ 9(f). Under AEDPA, the petitioner's conviction became final on that date. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A). The petitioner had, therefore, until October 13, 1999, to file his habeas petition. See id. The petitioner did not, however, file his petition until December 28, 2004, more than five years after the expiration of the time to file the petition. Accordingly, the petition is untimely and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. §

¹ The petitioner may assert that his habeas petition is timely since he filed a motion for new trial, and the limitations period for seeking a writ of habeas corpus may be tolled during (continued...)

2244(d)(1)(A); see also David v. Hall, 318 F.3d 343, 344 (1st Cir. 2003); Lattimore v. DuBois, 311 F.3d 46, 53-54 (1st Cir. 2002); Gaskins v. Duval, 183 F.3d 8, 9-10 (1st Cir. 1999).

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, the respondent respectfully requests that this Court dismiss this habeas petition on the grounds that it is time-barred.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS F. REILLY ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Maura D. McLaughlin Maura D. McLaughlin (BBO # 634923) **Assistant Attorney General** Criminal Bureau One Ashburton Place Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 727-2200, ex. 2857

Dated: February 1, 2005

¹(...continued)

periods in which a "properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2). However, the motion for a new trial was not filed until November 10, 2003. See Docket Sheet, Exhibit A. By that time, the period for filing a habeas petition had already been expired for over four (4) years. Thus, the filing of the motion for a new trial cannot save the current habeas petition from dismissal.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on February 1, 2005, I caused a copy of the above document to be served via first class mail, postage prepaid, upon Mr. Luis Rivera, petitioner *pro se*, W-52832, MCI- Cedar Junction, Post Office Box 100, South Walpole, Massachusetts 02071.

/s/ Maura D. McLaughlin Maura D. McLaughlin

EXHIBIT A

Commonwealth of Massachusetts HAMPDEN SUPERIOR COURT Case Summary Criminal Docket

Commonwealth v Rivera Jr, Luis Fernando

Details for Docket: HDCR1991-02247

Case Information

Docket Number:

HDCR1991-02247

Caption:

Commonwealth v Rivera

Luis Fernando

Entry Date:

09/04/1991

Case Status:

Crim 1 CtRm 1

Status Date:

06/29/1992

Session: Deadline Status:

Disposed

Lead Case: Trial Deadline:

NA

Jury Trial:

NO

Parties Involved

2 Parties Involved in Docket: HDCR1991-02247

Party

Involved:

Role:

Defendant

Last Name:

Rivera Jr

First Name:

Luis Fernando

Address:

City:

Address: State:

Zip Code:

Zip Ext:

Telephone:

Party

Involved:

Role:

Plaintiff

Last Name:

Commonwealth

First Name:

Address:

Address:

City:

State:

Zip Code:

Zip Ext:

Telephone:

Attorneys Involved

1 Attorneys Involved for Docket: HDCR1991-02247

Page 2 of 3

Attorney

Involved: Last Name:

Montori

PIONION

Address:

50 State Street

City:

Springfield

01102

Zip Code: Telephone:

413-747-1000

Fascimile:

413-781-4745

Firm Name:

HAMP02

First Name:

Jane D

Address:

Hall of Justice

State:

MA

Zip Ext:

Tel Ext:

Representing:

Commonwealth, (Plaintiff)

Calendar Events

No Calendar Events found for Docket: HDCR1991-02247.

There are currently no calendar events associated with this case.

Full Docket Entries

23 Docket Entries for Docket: HDCR1991-02247

Entry Date:	Paper No:	Docket Entry:
09/04/1991	1	Indictment returned
06/29/1992		Case disposed as of this date
02/19/2003		Case entered for indexing purposes: See docket book text for docket
02/19/2003		information
11/10/2003	105	Motion by Deft: for assignment of counsel and for scheduling of full
11/10/2003	105	evidentiary hearing
11/10/2003	105	Affidavit of Luis Rivera in support of motion for new trial
11/10/2003	105	evidentiary hearing and assignment of counsel
11/10/2003	106	Motion by Deft: first verified Rule 30 for new trial with evidentiary
11/10/2003	106	hearing and assignment of counsel
11/10/2003	107	Appearance of Commonwealth's Atty: Jane D Montori
11/20/2003		Motion (P#105 & 106) denied see pleading (Ford, Justice). Copies
11/20/2003		mailed 11/24/03
03/09/2004	108	NOTICE of APPEAL FILED by Luis Fernando Rivera Jr
09/01/2004	109	AT S.J.C. FOR SUFFOLK COUNTY Notice of Docket Entry re.: Motion
09/01/2004	109	for assignment of counsel with leave to late file for good cause,
09/01/2004	109	filed by Luis Rivera, pro se Motion for assignment of counsel with
09/01/2004	109	leave to late file for good cause is denied without hearing.
09/16/2004	110	AT S.J.C. FOR SUFFOLK COUNTY: Notice of Docket Entry re.: Modified

Page	3	of	3
* "5¢	_	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	J

09/16/2004 09/16/2004 12/20/2004 12/20/2004	110 110 111 111	Order It is ordered that the leave to late file the aplication is allowed without hearing. AT S.J.C. for Suffolk County: Notice of Docket Entry: Memorandum and Order - The defendant's petition is hereby denied.
--	--------------------------	---

Charges

No Charges found for Docket: HDCR1991-02247.

There are currently no charges associated with this case.

[©] Copyright, Massachusetts Administrative Office of the Trial Court, 2000 - 2001.